



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,505 12/19/2001		12/19/2001	Cindy Kirk	12487-US 8521	
23553	7590	12/02/2005		EXAMINER	
MARKS	& CLERK		SHAW, PELING ANDY		
P.O. BOX STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OTTAW	A, ON KIF	P 5S7	2144		
CANADA	A		DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/021,505	KIRK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peling A. Shaw	2144		

	Peling A. Shaw	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.iia.ii. / iiiio.iaiiioii.	(
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	·	•
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.</li> </ol>		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		·	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Utiler		RC D. THOMPS	1
	MA PRI	RCTHOMPS MARY EXAMI	NE R

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

The rejection of specification changes on paragraphs 58 and 61 is reviewed and removed.

In response to statements on claim 1:

Engel does not show (claims 1 and 6) explicitly a window of two panes showing layer 2 (or layer 1) and layer 3 entities respectively. Weinberg shows (Fig. 5; column 4, line 15-17; column 17, line 21-39) a window of two panes showing the navigation through a map on one pane and zooming in the other pane in an analogous art for the purpose of visualization of web sites and hierarchical data structures.

A protocol tree is a general statement to describe multiple nested protocols as depicted in fig. 19. The labeling on the left hand side clearly refers to OSI layers. This is a clear indication of Engel does have OSI in mind, particularly data link layer, i.e. OSI layer 2 and network layer, i.e. OSI layer 3. Fig. 19 clearly map IP based and other protocol suite into OSI protocol layer architecture frame.

As a person of ordinary skill in the art is aware of the MIB is used to model IP based network management information, particularly the protocol entities of network.

As a person of ordinary skill in the art is aware of the meaning of navigation through a map, Weinberg's citation is particularly associated with a map on one pane and zooming in the other pane.

The combination Engel and Weinberg recites all broad techniques covering all the limitations cited in claim 1.

MARC, D. THOMPSON

PRIMARY EXAMINE